

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/945,146 | WOLFE ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Angela Ortiz | 1732 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Angela Ortiz. (3) _____.

(2) Atty Carroll. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

the newly filed IDS and USP 5672405

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr suggested placing claim 28 into claim 22 to clarify the allowed method steps; the Exr also informed rep that the reply of 12 July 2004 was non-compliant - the rep filed a supplemental response with the agreed changes and the application passed to issue

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)